

GRAND COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
GRAND COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
GRAND COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
GRAND COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH

July 26, 2016

Present: Commissioner E. Jane Tollett, Commissioner District 1 – Chair
Commissioner Merrit S. Linke, Commissioner District 2
Commissioner Kristen Manguso, Commissioner District 3
Clerk and Recorder Sara Rosene
County Attorney Alan Hassler
Interim County Manager Ed Moyer
Finance Director Curtis Lange
Road and Bridge Superintendent Chris Baer
County Sheriff Brett Schroetlin
Public Health Nurse Brene Belew-LaDue
Information Services Director Martin Woros
County Treasurer Christina Whitmer
County Assessor Tom Weydert
Community Development Director Bill Gray

Those present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Board Minutes

Commissioner Linke moved to approve the Meeting Minutes of the Grand County Board of Commissioners, Grand County Department of Social Services, and the Grand County Housing Authority for June 26, 2016.

The motion passed unanimously.

Finance Director

Finance Director Curtis Lange presented the Board with the 2017 Budget Preparation Letter for Board approval to be signed and by the Board to send to the Department Heads.

Commissioner Linke moved to approve the 2017 Budget Preparation Letter as presented.

Discussion: Commissioner Linke read aloud the last paragraph of the letter:

As your Board of Commissioners, we wish to express our gratitude and appreciation to the Grand County Elected Officials, Appointed Officials, and their staff members for their assistance and support in the preparation of the annual budget. Working together will help us provide the best service possible with the available resources.

The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Lange stated that the total amount of the warrants is \$169,000 (includes Highway 9 payment) and the Housing Authority is \$3,845.14.

Finance Director Curtis Lange, presented the Register and Expenditure List to be paid on June 27, 2016 for vendor payments for the Grand County Housing Authority.

Commissioner Linke moved to approve and sign the checks of the Grand County Housing Authority to be paid on June 27, 2016.

The motion passed unanimously.

The Board convened a Special meeting of the Grand County Board of Social Service for the purpose of approving warrants.

Finance Director Curtis Lange presented the Warrant Register and Expenditure List to be paid on June 27, 2016, for vendor payments. The list for this period was verified for the Board's approval.

Commissioner Linke to approve the vouchers presented on June 26, 2016 for payment on June 27, 2016 for the Grand County Board of Social Services.

The motion passed unanimously.

The Board convened a Regular meeting of the Grand County Board of Commissioners.

Finance Director Curtis Lange presented the wires payments and vouchers for Grand County. The list for this period was verified for the Board's approval.

Commissioner Linke moved to approve the wires and vouchers payments presented on June 26, 2016 for payment on June 27, 2016 for Grand County.

The motion passed unanimously.

Road and Bridge Update

Road and Bridge Superintendent Chris Baer stated that great progress is being made on County Road 804. Striping will be completed by July 28, 2016.

Mr. Baer stated that the Divide Music Festival went well and he knew of no problems.

Mr. Baer stated that there is a gate on County Road 609. The portion of the road beyond the gate is an 8-foot right-of-way.

Mr. Baer stated that he was asked to research gate on County Road 609 at the Morales property. Mr. Baer has never seen the gate closed. Mr. Baer stated that the crews have plowed into the house in order to turn around.

Commissioner Linke stated that the gate was closed on the road. Commissioner Manguso noted that there are no homes beyond the gate other than the Morales home.

Mr. Moyer stated that the County has maintained County Road 609 to the point where the Morales property is for 20 years. The County uses County Road 609 to turn around the plow.

Mr. Baer stated that he does not have an accurate accounting of the amount of gravel put into the slip on County Road 1. He is working to assure that accurate records are kept. Ms. Baer noted that it did slip some this week.

Commissioner Linke noted that County Road 1 is in good shape.

General Public Comments

There were not public comments.

Departmental Contracts, Comments, Issues

Public Health Nurse Brene Belew-LaDue presented the Board with a contract agreement with Summit County. Ms. Belew-LaDue stated that Grand County has had an emergency preparedness and response coordination contractor agreement. The agreement has been in place for seven years with Summit County for Abby Cobb to be the emergency preparedness coordinator. Summit County is the fiscal agent for this.

This is zero cost to Grand County.

Commissioner Linke moved to authorize the Chair to sign the Emergency Preparedness Response Coordination Planning Contractor Agreement as presented by Public Health Nurse Brene Belew-LaDue.

The motion passed unanimously.

County Sheriff Brett Schroetlin recommends that the fire restrictions stay in place at this time. The conditions are improving a little bit. Sheriff Schroetlin would like to leave the restrictions on for another week and see where the conditions are in a week.

Commissioner Linke stated that the Level 1 restrictions are not so intrusive and most people are able to enjoy the outdoors as they would like.

Sheriff Schroetlin stated that there was a drowning in Gore Canyon this weekend. The Sheriff's office is working with the family.

The Divide Music Festival went well. The number of people attending was lower than expected and there were very few problems.

IS Director Martin Woros presented a request for release of capital. Mr. Woros stated that his staff is working at the fairgrounds during the Fair and at the larger events at the fair grounds to provide support for the sound system and the wireless systems.

Mr. Woros stated that Technician Mark Jenson found some problems with the audio system at the beef barn and the areas to the north. The recommendation is that the equipment be replaced.

The County will provide \$2,000 toward the replacement of the equipment and the fair board will provide the additional funds necessary.

Mr. Woros believes that this can be done before the fair starts.

Commissioner Manguso moved to release capital in the amount of \$3,689.80 and authorize the Chair to sign the contract for replacement of the sound system at the fairgrounds with Michal's Audio and Video. Grand County's portion is \$2,000.

The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Woros stated that last week a server was rebooted that contained a process that the Clerk and Recorder was dependent upon for receiving records. That server did not come back up. There were some issues with making the network connection back to the public to allow them to record.

It is a server that IS is phasing out of the line of hardware. It is a 2007 server and in server life that is pretty old. IS replaced most of the features that were running on the server because it is a web server. It is public facing.

Mr. Woros likes to keep that server current to defend security. IS moved almost everything off that platform onto a newer fresher version.

Mr. Woros would like to replace the recording software to move on newer hardware. It sounds like a simple thing to do but it is a major software package. There are very few vendors that provide this type of software.

Mr. Woros does not want to make a hasty decision. He wants to make sure that the county makes a careful decision.

Clerk and Recorder Sara Rosene stated that the recording system is supported by the funds that are collected in recording. It is \$1 per transaction. It is costing Grand County more in service than is collected each year.

There is enough money in the account to update the system with Grand County's current vendor. The updated system does not have everything that Grand County needs.

There was legislation that increased the recording fee and it creates a fund that the Secretary of State administers and counties can apply for grants to replace an existing system.

County Clerk and Recorder Sara Rosene requested a release of capital in the amount \$5,663.64 to cover the cost of four 24-hour drop boxes for elections. This does not cover the cost of the cameras and recorder.

Commissioner Linke moved to approve release of capital in the amount of \$5,663.64 for 24-hour ballot drop boxes from Fort Knox Mail Box.

The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Moyer presented a contract between Grand County and Alexis D. Moul dba Lexi's Music LLC and Jimmy Wheeler dba Alpha Entertainment Booking LLC for Demolition Derby musical entertainment on August 20, 2016 in an amount not to exceed \$3,500.

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve the contract between Grand County and Alexis D. Moul dba Lexi's Music LLC and Jimmy Wheeler dba Alpha Entertainment Booking LLC for Demolition Derby musical entertainment on August 20, 2016 in an amount not to exceed \$3,500.

The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve the contract between Grand County and Robin Breeze, dba Robin Breeze Band for live music entertainment on August 13, 2016, as part of the 2016 Middle Park Fair and Rodeo in an amount not to exceed \$1,000.

The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve the contract between Grand County and Tumble Bubbles LLC and Grand County to provide an inflatable pool and bubble balls for August 12 and 13 as part of the 2016 Middle Park Fair and Rodeo in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.

The motion passed unanimously.

Manager and Attorney Items

Mr. Moyer provided the Board a copy of the Kremmling Airport McElroy Master Plan.

Mr. Moyer stated that he has been working with Winter Park Resort and Denver Water on Change Order #3. There were requirements in the design documents that were requested by Denver Water to Winter Park Resort and GEI. There is a letter from Gary DeFrangé requesting the Board of County Commissioner approve Change Order #3 for GEI Consultants for additional engineering design work. The amount of the change order is \$11,000 and the total contract will go from \$318,650 to \$329,650.

This is a Colorado River Cooperative Agreement funded project. The Grand County Treasurer holds an escrow for this money.

Commissioner Linke moved to approve Resolution No. 2016-7-18, "A RESOLUTION BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO APPROVING CHANGE ORDER #3 FROM GEI CONSULTANTS, INC. TO INTRAWEST/WINTER PARK OPERATIONS CORPORATION FOR THE FRASER RIVER PUMP AND PIPELINE AND DISCOVERY PARK POND PROJECT" in the amount \$11,000 for the Fraser River Pump and Pipeline and Discovery Park Pond Project.

The motion passed unanimously.

The Board asked for more research on who should be appointed to the Child Fatality Committee.

Commissioner Linke stated that it appears that the questions around the appointment of this committee is:

1. Does the Board need to pass a resolution appointing certain people. (If not, should it rescind the existing resolution)
2. If a resolution is needed, it needs to be as broad as possible for the other entities

The County received a letter from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment regarding the five ground water statistic reports for Granby Landfill. There were a few comments to address.

The state approved the County performing semi-annual reports instead of quarterly. This saves considerable dollars.

Mr. Moyer stated that Learning by Doing received the Fishing Is Fun grant in the amount of \$87,000 for the Fraser Flats River restoration project on Grand County No. 1 Water and Sanitation District property to which the County has a five-year easement for public fishing.

The Fishing Is Fun grant has a couple of hurdles that they are trying to work through. There is a concern for the term of the easement. The District is concerned over extending the term of the easement and there are some TABOR ramifications that the County Attorney has been reviewing.

Consent Agenda

Resolution No. 2016-7-13, "A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO TO EXECUTE A SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN G.A. JOHNSON AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO FOR THE PROVISION OF AN OLD WEST PHOTO-BOOTH DURING THE 2016 MIDDLE PARK FAIR AND RODEO”

Resolution No. 2016-7-14, “A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO TO EXECUTE A SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN AMBERG ENTERTAINMENT COLORADO, INC D/B/A INFUNITY EVENTS AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO FOR RENTAL ATTRACTIONS AT THE 2016 MIDDLE PARK FAIR AND RODEO”

Resolution No. 2016-7-15, “A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO TO EXECUTE A SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN GRAND COUNTY WATER INFORMATION NETWORK AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO FOR BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLING”

Resolution No. 2016-7-16, “A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN NORTHERN COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT; MUNICIPAL SUBDISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT; THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS; COLORADO RIVER WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT; TROUT UNLIMITED, INC.; AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO FOR SHARED FUNDING OF THE 2016 FIELD WORK COSTS FOR THE GRAND COUNTY STREAM MANAGEMENT PLAN”

Resolution No. 2016-7-17, “A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO TO EXECUTE A SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN TETRA TECH, INC. AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF GRAND, STATE OF COLORADO FOR 2016 FIELD WORK FOR THE GRAND COUNTY STREAM MANAGEMENT PLAN”

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve the Consent Agenda.

The motion passed unanimously

Next week, the Board will meet with the Colorado River Water Conservancy District in an executive session to discuss direct negotiations regarding implementation of Colorado River Cooperative Agreement. Water counsel David Taussig is available to attend by telephone. Mr. Hassler asked if Mr. Taussig should attend.

Commissioner Tollett does not see a reason to have Mr. Taussig present and Commissioners Manguso and Linke agree.

Mr. Hassler brought the logo policy back to the Board for consideration. If the Board decides to go forward with the policy provided, the policy will be put into a resolution.

Commissioner Manguso believes that if a vehicle does not display logos, there must be a formal action and a clear record of the Board exempting any vehicle.

County Attorney Alan Hassler stated that with regard to the Jasper Mountain easement for telecommunication access, there is an existing easement between owners in the area and Verizon. The Verizon easement has a paragraph in it that says “that in addition to the easement, rights granted herein to grantee, grantor acknowledges that it has also granted and conveyed and by these presence does grant and convey a warrant to Grand County employees acting on official county business 24-hour seven days per week non-exclusive easement through, upon, and across the easement area.”

Commissioner Manguso stated that it will cost the County a lot of money to make changes. She would like to rely on what is already in the easement.

Mr. Moyer stated that this is a non-exclusive easement and he wondered if it could be relied upon for the future. This easement can be terminated at any point between Verizon and the landowner and the County would not have an easement.

The Board agreed to budget for an easement in the 2017 budget.

Board Business/Correspondence/Calendar/Meetings Report

The Board received a request to reappoint Kim Jensen to the Grand County Library District Board of Trustees.

Commissioner Tollett stated that the Board received a letter from Attorney Georgia Noriyuki stating that the County Attorney's reading of the Library Districts' Bylaws was incorrect. The interpretation from Ms. Noriyuki is that the Board of Commissioners cannot disagree with an appointment to the Library District Board.

Mr. Hassler believes that the interpretation from Ms. Noriyuki is that the Board has 60 days to act upon a recommendation for an appointment.

Commissioner Tollett stated that the Board's intent was to open the lines of dialogue with the Library and the Friends of the Library. What has been disappointing is some of the response from the Library District. It has not been terribly polite and has been accusing the Board of Commissioners of all kinds of things when the Board is trying to slow down and look at the decision. There has been a lot of input of the public. The Library District has worked hard. The current Library Board inherited the situation and there is not a clear path out.

The Library District tried to get a ballot measure to increase the mill levy because the Library buildings are expensive albeit beautiful assets to the communities. It was disappointing to hear that the Library District is considering closing a branch.

Commissioner Manguso stated that she understood from the Library District meeting that just closing Hot Sulphur Springs Library will not solve the financial issues. There will be further impacts but the District is not saying what those impacts will be. The Board of Trustees have said that the kiosk was an option but that idea may not move forward. Commissioner Manguso noted that she saw no clear cut plan for how the District is going to cut \$200,000 from the budget.

Commissioner Tollett asked if the District is really listening to the services that people want. Commissioner Tollett believes there is a disconnect and there needs to be a way to bring the different points of view together.

Commissioner Tollett believes that it is the Board's responsibly to get the organizations talking and would like to see the energy being directed (including the letters from the lawyer) to solving the problem. Commissioner Tollett would like to see some new blood on the Board.

Commissioner Tollett stated that the need for new blood in no way diminishes the work that the Library has done the last few years. Kim Jensen has contributed greatly to the financial understanding. Commissioner Tollett appreciates that work but believes it is time for new problem solving for the library.

Commissioner Manguso stated that in the letter from Attorney Noriyuki, it states that the Board of Commissioners does not have the authority to condition an individual's reappointment on either a disagreement with Ms. Jensen's opinions or the Board of Commissioners review or approval of finances. Commissioner Manguso believes that the Board of Commissioners does not have to approve or deny on any condition. The Board of Commissioners does not have to condition on anything. The Board of Commissioners has the authority to appoint or not appoint any Trustee.

Commissioner Manguso has heard the public say that it is discontented with the direction the Library District is going. It may take some fresh ideas. The decision to appoint or not is not based on dis-liking any individual it is based on input from the public.

Commissioner Linke does not completely disagree with what is being said. The Library District does need to move in a new direction. The Board of Commissioners needs to really focus on the problem. The problem that the Board is hearing is closing the Hot Sulphur Springs Library. There needs to be a way to find solutions for long term sustainable budget and financial problems. Reappointing people is not necessarily going to solve those problems.

Commissioner Linke believes the Library Board heard loud and clear what the public is saying. He understands that the Library Board is willing to consider some new possibilities. The Library District Board did say that it is willing to reconsider the closure of the Hot Sulphur Springs Library. Commissioner Linke suggested caution on identifying the problem that is trying to be solved and doing the right thing.

Commissioners Tollett and Manguso stated that they heard at the last Library District meeting that the Hot Sulphur Springs closure was off the table for a month.

Commissioner Manguso moved to deny the recommendation of the reappointment of Kim Jensen to the Library Board and request that the Library Board send the Board of Commissioners the name of three people to be considered by the Board of Commissioners.

Discussion: Commissioner Tollett thanked Ms. Jensen for her service. Commissioner Tollett stated that serving on any Board is not easy. There are some very tough decisions that have to be made. The Board of Commissioners wants to help the Library solve the financial issue as the result of building the beautiful library branches that the people in Grand County all enjoy.

Commissioner Linke stated that we need to make sure that we are solving the problem. The number one thing is closing the Hot Sulphur Springs Library and the implications of that. If not appointing Ms. Jensen puts the Library District in the direction of solving the problem, he supports it. If it is change for the sake of change, he does not necessarily support that.

Commissioner Manguso stated that it is bigger than Hot Sulphur Springs for her. It is the entire west end of the County.

The motion passed unanimously.

The Library District asked that the County waive the County Treasurer's fees. Commissioner Tollett understands that it is a considerable amount.

Mr. Hassler stated that the statute sets the Treasurer's fees. If the County reimburses some of that, it is a charge against the County General Fund.

The Board of Commissioners offered to help with some of the utilities because the County owns the building.

Commissioner Manguso understands that the Library District wants the County to provide district-wide support and not support any individual library.

Commissioner Linke agreed that district-wide is a good idea. The Board of Commissioners did not like the Library District cutting an individual library, so with that same consistency the Board of Commissioners should support district-wide.

Mr. Moyer stated that the County could amend the lease to provide some services from the County.

IS Director Martin Woros stated that he would like to explore the idea of providing information technology services and see if there is a way to share resources. He would like to get back to the Board of Commissioners.

Mr. Woros looks forward to working with Library District IS Director Kilgas to work on this project.

Discussion to consider a modified work schedule in County Administration Building

Mr. Moyer stated that this was previously brought to the Board's attention and the Board requested a list of pros and cons. Mr. Moyer received two responses and then a third very detailed response from the Clerk and Recorder.

Ms. Rosene understood that the request for response was to be from department heads. Ms. Rosene provided the Board with a response from her employees.

Ms. Rosene stated that the modified schedule will serve the public. People in her office have had the experience a number of times from the public stating that they cannot get to the County Administration building on time. Our citizens work similar schedules to the hours of the County building.

Ms. Rosene stated that it is evident at 5:00 p.m. that people get to the County building just at 5:00 p.m. or later. Ms. Rosene stated that her employees let people in the building after the doors are locked. The Clerk and Recorder's office is here and here serving the public. Ms. Rosene believes that it is the responsibility of County employees to serve the public.

Ms. Rosene asked that the Board change hours of the County Administration Building. Ms. Rosene noted that she spoke with the Board members individually. Commissioner Linke asked Ms. Rosene to contact every

department head in the building and ask their take on this. Without exception, she heard that department heads may not agree with the idea but know that their employees want it.

Ms. Rosene believes that it will improve employee morale and more importantly, it is a better way to serve our citizens.

Commissioner Tollett noted that Patty Brown did an information survey and found that most employees would forgo up to a \$2 per hour wage increase to a four-day work week.

Commissioner Linke stated that the Board should do some research and find the pros and cons of doing this.

Ms. Rosene stated that she did the research requested and provided the pros and cons. Her office hears from the public more than any office. It is interesting that it serves the public for the Sheriff's Office and Road and Bridge to be closed one day per week.

Ms. Rosene understands that the Sheriff is an elected official and he does not have the limitation on his hours as the offices in the building. Road and Bridge is one of the Board of Commissioner's departments. Ms. Rosene stated that she believes that Road and Bridge having the extended hours four days per week is serving the public.

Ms. Rosene noted that there are a number of departments in the building that have a flexible schedule. When departments are closed (such as Road and Bridge and the Sheriff's Office), it affects her office.

There are departments in this building that are on a flex schedule or are on a one-up flex. This affects her office.

Janette Fudge stated that Grand County is a large County and the office receives a lot of complaints that this is the only place to get this work done. People do have to travel and people have made comments that they would like extended hours.

Maralyn Branstetter stated that she was a part of East Grand School District when they switched to a four-day week. Ms. Branstetter was vehemently opposed to that and argued on behalf of her constituents who were little bitty children. Ms. Branstetter felt that was too long a day for little children. Ms. Branstetter stated that school board needed to consider the cost and the ramification for the little children. Ms. Branstetter stated that the four-day work week went into effect against her arguments.

Ms. Branstetter stated that she is here with a different perspective. If she uses the same standard, she wondered who is negatively impacted. She stated that she can see a lot of people who would be positively impacted. Not only the County employees which she had a unique perspective as an election judge to see what goes on in the office. Ms. Branstetter stated that she is impressed with the office that she has been working in. She noted that the office is so busy and so customer oriented.

Ms. Branstetter stated that when she was working away from Hot Sulphur Springs, it was a big deal to get the County Administration Building.

Ms. Branstetter stated that she believes that the modified work schedule is in the best interest of the Boards' constituents and the employees. Ms. Branstetter cannot see a negative impact.

Teri Hertel stated that she works in the Clerk's Office and has worked there for over 11 years. Ms. Hertel stated that the Clerk's office tries to accommodate as much as possible. There are people that have to take time off to go to the County Administration Building.

Ms. Hertel stated that knows that there will be no raises but feels this is a fair benefit.

Community Development Director Bill Gray stated that people may presume that a four-day work week would be challenging thing for his office. Mr. Gray stated that his office has a link to the building industry. Construction trades have a short construction season.

Mr. Gray believes that he has not evaluated whether they could meet the needs of the building trades with longer days. Mr. Gray stated that he has not evaluated whether a four-day work week because he is not sure that it is a serious conversation or just talk.

Mr. Gray stated that his department would like a four-day work week. There is no question about that just from a wellness standpoint.

Mr. Gray stated that he will need to have a discussion with the counties currently on a four-day work week if this discussion ever becomes more than just talk.

Mr. Gray would like to be able to explore this.

IS Director Martin Woros stated that he has been with the County about 18 years. One of the most frequently asked questions he has received is "when is DMV open?" Mr. Woros stated that when he was employed elsewhere, he tried to get to DMV early only to find that it opens at 8:30 a.m. He has seen people in that same situation.

Mr. Woros likes the idea of a three-day weekend and a four-day work week. Mr. Woros is here for a reason, he likes to hike, camp, fish etc. His free time is important to him.

Mr. Woros manages a department of three employees and those employees have eight to eleven hours of overtime or comp time. Mr. Woros stated a lot of the major upgrades and changes are performed after hours. It may be a good time to do upgrades on Fridays if the Administration Building is closed.

The Sheriff went to a four-day work week. Mr. Woros stated that it seems that they can pull that off.

Mr. Woros stated if his guys are called out on Friday from a department that is not participating that they need help. Mr. Woros is concerned over how to handle services. Mr. Woros would not be happy with irregularities in scheduling. It is all or nothing.

Mr. Woros stated that people can go to the web page and departments need to find ways to meet needs remotely.

Lisa Webb works for Community Development. Ms. Webb stated that she comes to work early and there are people outside waiting. Ms. Webb agree with the early and late hours.

Ms. Webb does not believe that more research needs to be done.

Ms. Webb stated that there are jobs in Community Development that they are not able to fill. Applicants are not coming in and this could be a benefit that appeals to people.

Housing Authority Coordinator Sheena Darland stated that she has two different points of view on this. One is that as a mother of young kids and having them be out of school on Friday and she cannot provide daycare. Ms. Darland believes the extra two hours per day would be helpful in getting work done.

County Assessor Tom Weydert stated that his department is fortunate that they can provide flex for all employees. It is very adventurous and it works well. Mr. Weydert stated that different people have different days off. Mr. Weydert agrees with extended hours.

Mr. Weydert does not believe it is good public policy to close one day. Mr. Weydert stated that we all need to keep in mind that our client is the public. The extended hours need to be done internally. Mr. Weydert stated that property owners or second homeowners are generally at the County Administration Building on Friday or Monday. Mr. Weydert believes that the County Administration Building needs to be open on those days.

His department has been able to work that out internally so that they have coverage on Mondays and Fridays.

Ms. Whitmer stated that it seems that the Board's main concern is the public. Both the Assessor and Treasurer have on-line services so a lot of their customers are handled through electronic means. The volume of customers in the Clerk and Recorder's Office is much more than other departments.

Ms. Whitmer stated that she has the respect that when the Clerk and Recorder says that she can manage by extending the hours and better serve the customers, Ms. Whitmer believes that to be the case.

Ms. Whitmer believes that the Clerk and Recorder has a better handle on this and should be given more consideration.

Ms. Whitmer stated that there are customers who wish the County were to be open until 5:30 p.m. Ms. Whitmer stated that the County can save money on employees using the day off to take care of appointments. If people have the extra day off, the County will save money on sick time. It is a serious savings.

Commissioner Tollett stated that she believes this is a serious issue and the Board is seriously considering it.

Charlie Erickson who works in the Clerk's Office stated that she has been in the office less than year and she has found that people do want the extended hours. For the employees, it is a morale boost.

Commissioner Tollett agrees that it will be a morale boost and notes that there will be no raises.

Commissioner Tollett wondered what the right next step would be. Commissioner Tollett stated that she would like a public meeting and would like to have all departments to have a chance to talk. This affects a lot of departments.

Commissioner Manguso stated that she would like to receive more public comment. It is very positive to the employees.

Mr. Gray stated that he would like to have a conversation with other counties that have made this adjustment.

Ms. Hertel stated that she agrees that public input is necessary but she wonders about the people who will be affected by this. They are likely not able to come to the meeting during the day. It is the working people that need the extended hours.

Commissioner Manguso stated people can provide email and letters. They do not necessarily need to appear at the meeting.

Commissioner Linke stated that the Clerk and Recorder is the face of this building. The Clerk and Recorder gets more direct one on one contact than anyone. Commissioner Linke understands that an internal flex schedule does not work for the Clerk and Recorder.

Commissioner Linke stated that he loved the four-day work week when he was a teacher.

Commissioner Linke does not see that there is a cost associated with this. He would like to see the hours change on a trial basis.

Commissioner Manguso stated that if the County goes to a four-day work week, it is across the board. Commissioner Manguso stated that he does not see that this can be done as a trial. She does not see that the County can go back to five days if it goes to four.

Commissioner Manguso stated that if the hours are changed, she wants to make sure that it is done the right way. She wants to make sure that the Board receives a lot of public input.

Commissioner Tollett stated that she has had the good fortune in her career to go between full time and flex time. It was a real life saver. She would like to extend that to other people. She would like to make sure that we take care of our employees.

The Commissioners would like to have an open meeting and make sure that people are heard.

County Treasurer Whitmer suggested that it be made clear as to what is being considered.

Ms. Branstetter stated that when this is released to the public, she suggested that the Board make it known that there are not raises being given.

Commissioner Manguso agreed that providing that information is important.

Ted Lindtveit, CTSI Update

Ted Lindtveit of County Technical Services presented the Board with the loss analysis for the last five years. They go back five years because the last three are the ones that are actionable in the computation for the County's contribution for next year's coverage.

Mr. Lindtveit stated that they broadened coverage under the term and definition of employment and they added cyber coverage this year. They updated policy language so that they broadened the coverage for property and liability and auto in some specific cases.

The County is encouraged to check policies for embezzlement protection for the person that never takes a vacation and red flag type items. This year the CTSI insured counties were hit with embezzlement in Building Departments, Treasurer Office, Social Services, and an IT Department.

If the County gets a new commissioner this year, CTSI encourages the commissioner to go to the December 15 training for new commissioners.

The pool saw a return of \$3.3 million to its members this year which comprised of \$1 million in worker's compensation and \$2.3 million in equity and interest from the CAPP Pool.

Mr. Lindtveit stated that Grand County is 45th relative to the other counties in property casualty. Last year, Grand County was 23th place. That is in a big drop in the amount of usage. It reflects in the contribution in the coming season.

In Worker's Compensation, Grand County is 24th. The County is at a .77 loss. Last year, Grand County was .36.

Mr. Lindtveit stated that CTSI provides driving classes.

Vehicle backing claims have gone up 125 more than last year for the entire pool. Mr. Lindtveit stated that vehicle backing claims is a nationwide problem.

Mr. Lindtveit stated that in worker's compensation, Grand County is going to lose a great year which was 2011. The year that it is gaining is not too bad.

Nationally, trips, slips and falls is the highest claim category and Grand County fits into that.

The Board would like to schedule a driving class for employees.

Mr. Lindtveit stated that the four-day work week is an emotional issue. A four-day work week is a game changer for employees. It is a plus for employees.

Public Hearing – Solid Fuel Burning Devices

The public hearing scheduled to begin at 1:30 p.m. was called to order by Chairman Tollett at 1:30 p.m. County Attorney Hassler set the record.

The following is all or part of staff's Certificate of Recommendation to the Board as it was provided by staff for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting held on July 26, 2016.

- A. Public Notice – Middle Park Times July 7, 2016
- B. Proof of Publication – Middle Park Times, July 7, 2016
- C. Public Review Sheets (no signatures)
- D. Planning Commission Resolution No. 2016-5-3
- E. Portion of Air Quality Regulation No. 1
- F. Growth Area Maps
- G. Repeal 2.12 Solid Fuel Burning Devices, Subdivision Regulations
- H. Repeal 5.10 Solid Fuel Burning Devices
- I. Repeal 2.9 Solid Fuel Burning Devices, Subdivision Exemption Regulations
- J. Repeal 2.4 Solid Fuel Burning Devices, Outright Exemption Regulations
- K. Repeal 2.11 Solid Fuel Burning Devices, Rural Land Use Process
- L. Repeal R1001.1.1 and R1004.1.1 2009 International Residential Code
- M. Repeal R1414.1.1 and M1415.1.1 2009 International Mechanical Code
- N. Board of County Commissioners Staff Report dated July 26, 2016

PROJECT NAME: Solid Fuel Burning Device Amendments
APPLICANT: Grand County
LOCATION: Unincorporated Grand County, Towns of Grand Lake, Hot Sulphur Springs and Kremmling

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS: Subdivision Regulations, Subdivision Exemption Regulations, Outright Exemption Regulations, Rural Land Use Process, Zoning Regulations, Grand County Building

STAFF PLANNER: Community Development Department

REQUEST: To consider the adoption of Solid Fuel Burning Device Amendments to Grand County Zoning and Building Codes.

I. DISCUSSION

Grand County's existing solid fuel burning device regulations allow one "approved device" in all types of buildings and in all locations of unincorporated Grand County, Grand Lake, Hot Sulphur Springs and Kremmling. In November of 2016, the Planning Commission recommended the approval of a proposal that would have increased the number of allowed devices and would have allowed outdoor wood fired boilers on properties located outside of town limits and growth areas and are larger than five (5) acres. The proposal also would have required all outdoor wood fired boilers to receive a building permit. The Board of County Commissioners did not approve this proposal. They had concerns with the minimum lot size, the limit on the number of devices and the requirement that outdoor devices needed permits.

Based on feedback received from this meeting, staff continued researching policy alternatives. Staff reached out to our neighboring jurisdictions to see how our regulations compared and to see what regulations could potentially work in Grand County's unique setting. On April 11th 2016, staff held a workshop with the BOCC to establish a policy direction and receive feedback on multiple policy alternatives. This workshop included input from multiple residents who were in attendance and it helped clarify the BOCC's position on regulating solid fuel burning devices.

The feedback received by the Planning Commission and BOCC, combined with what staff has learned from communicating with local jurisdictions has allowed staff to draft a proposal that we feel will best meet Grand County's needs.

II. BACKGROUND

Where have we been?

In 1997, in response to concerns about wood smoke's effect on public health and visibility, Grand County limited solid fuel burning devices to one device per dwelling unit through a solid fuel burning device regulation. We also required each of these devices to be "approved" which meant they had to be certified by the State of Colorado. Since wood fired boilers are not certified by the state (they are exempted from the state's regulations), these devices could not be permitted in accordance with our new regulation. Since 1997, multiple wood fired boilers have been permitted after receiving specific approvals from the Board of Health. It is unclear how the Board of Health had the authority to hear these appeals. Beginning in 2010, a series of proposals came forward proposing many different ways to regulate solid fuel burning devices and wood fired boilers. In general, these proposals sought to allow EPA Qualified wood fired boilers and increase the number of solid fuel burning devices that could be permitted. One of the reasons for these proposals was that the popularity of outside wood fired boilers had increased-likely due to the abundant inexpensive fuel supply resulting from beetle killed trees. Another reason for the proposals may have been to try to clarify the County's wood fired boiler regulation. A review of the many regulation amendments that have been proposed over the last six years is below:

January 2010

- Two (2) solid fuel burning devices would have been allowed per single family dwelling in growth areas.
- Two (2) solid fuel burning devices would have been allowed per single family dwelling and one (1) solid fuel burning device would have been allowed per accessory structure on parcels outside of growth areas.
- In addition to the above, one (1) EPA Qualified wood-fired boiler would have been allowed on parcels greater than 2.4 acres in size. (2.4 acres is the minimum lot size for subdivision exemption parcels).

March 2011

- One (1) solid fuelburning device would have been allowed per single family dwelling unit (no change to the existing regulation).
- EPA Qualified wood fired boilers would have been permitted as a use by right in BOCC District 2 & 3. Wood fired boilers could be permitted in District 1 after Board of Health approval.

June 2011

- Two (2) solid fuel burning devices would have been allowed per single family dwelling.

- Two (2) solid fuel burning devices would have been allowed per multi-family, commercial and industrial buildings- these devices needed to be located in the lobby or common area.
- One (1) EPA Qualified wood fired boiler would have been permitted either as a use by right or after Board of Health approval depending on its proposed location in the County. An Air Quality Overlay Map that showed buffered areas around corridors was to be used to determine this.

January 2016

- One (1) solid fuel burning device would have been allowed on properties that are located in town limits, growth areas or are smaller than five (5) acres in size. This device could not have been a wood fired boiler.
- Two (2) solid fuel burning devices would have been allowed on properties that are located outside of town limits, growth areas and are larger than five (5) acres in size. One of these devices could have been a wood fired boiler.
- All proposals for devices would be reviewed at the administrative level with appeals to be heard by the BOCC.

As you can see, the proposals have changed considerably over the last six years. Staff has researched many different strategies that can be used to regulate these devices. Consideration has been given to regulating these devices by parcel size, distance from adjacent properties, subareas, number of allowed devices and emissions of the devices. Further, staff has considered different review processes, the need for permitting and different boards for hearing appeals.

In order to help us understand how Grand County's solid fuel burning device regulations and wood fired boiler regulations compare to the regulations of other jurisdictions, staff has created two spreadsheets. The spreadsheet below shows that Grand County's solid fuel burning device regulations are fairly consistent with other mountain counties and our incorporated towns. However, Grand County is more restrictive than all jurisdictions except Summit County and Eagle County when it comes to regulating solid fuel burning devices in duplexes. Staff feels that allowing only one device per two duplex units can create confusion and problems for the owners of these units. Winter Park, Fraser and Granby allow a duplex to have two devices if the units are larger than 1,500 square feet. Staff's proposal includes allowing one solid fuel burning device per dwelling unit for duplexes.

Staff provide spreadsheets that shows how surrounding jurisdictions and Grand County towns regulate outdoor wood fired boilers. With the exception of a few geographic areas within jurisdictions, other counties allow an unlimited number of outdoor wood fired boilers. The geographic exceptions are: Summit County requires them to be on parcels greater than five (5) acres in size, Pitkin County would likely not allow them in the airshed surrounding Aspen and Routt County would not allow them in the airshed surrounding Steamboat Springs. Both Pitkin and Routt County have had air quality non-attainment issues with these areas in the past. It should be pointed out that instead of having regulations that address and allow outdoor wood fired boilers, all jurisdictions except Summit County simply exempt outdoor devices and therefore have no way to address complaints. Eagle County explained that they have had multiple complaints of smoldering wood fired boilers, and they had no way to respond to these complaints. Although most surrounding counties exempt these devices, they still require permits and inspections to ensure the mechanical and plumbing aspects of the devices are connected to the home properly and to ensure the devices are installed to manufacturer's specifications. All counties require permits for outdoor wood fired boilers except for Routt County when the device is to be located in the air quality attainment area away from Steamboat Springs. Based on a workshop the proposed amendment is exemption outside wood fired boilers and furnaces from the regulation.

Staff is requesting that the Board consider applying a locational standard to outside solid fuel burning devices. The Planning Commission in their review of the amendment was presented this option too and has recommending that it be included in the amendment being considered by the BOCC.

[End of Staff's Certificate]

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve the Solid Fuel Burning Devices amendment to the Grand County Building and Zoning Code as presented by Mr. Gray.

The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Linke moved to close the public hearing.

The motion passed unanimously.

Board Business

Mr. Gray reported that the Divide Music Festival appeared to go well. Staff will have a “what went well and what did not.”

The Board received a note of thanks from Granby Mayor Paul Chavoustie regarding the work the County provided on the trail system.

The Board received an invoice from town of Winter Park regarding Meadow Ridge transit bill in the amount of \$14,000. The Board will discuss this at budget time.

The Board received a note of thanks from Mr. Hanson for the Board allowing him to interview for the County Manager position.

Mr. Moyer provided the Board with the minutes from July 13 Middle Park Water Conservancy meeting.

Mr. Moyer stated that the Board received an email from Selective Service of Grand County recruiting members.

Calendar

July 27	Department Heads meeting at 8:30 a.m. Learning by Doing
July 29	TPR meeting in Steamboat Springs NWCCOG in Walden
August 3	Personnel Policy Review Committee at 9:00 a.m.
August 15	Staff meeting at 8:30 a.m.

Meetings Report

Commissioner Linke attended Club 20 last week. There are four committees in Club 20:

1. Water – Club 20 positions on water
2. Agriculture. Had discussion on effects of trade. (Mad Cow in England)
3. Public Lands and Natural Resources. Resolution on lynx reductions and pre-commercial thinning (thinning of new young trees).
4. Energy committee. Discussion on molybdenum and how that affects oil and gas

Club 20 is strongly opposing Amendment 69.

Commissioner Linke noted that the Steamboat Springs town offices are closed on Friday.

Commissioner Linke and Mr. Moyer attended the 1177 Round Table meeting. There was a discussion on implementing Ag water alternative transfer methods. This is the water bank that has been in discussion as far as the Statewide Water Plan goes. The intent is to eliminate the use it or lose it and make sure that there is fair compensation.

Commissioner Linke stated that he believes a lot of this is to specifically designed to prevent transmountain diversions. Commissioner Linke wants to make sure there we can account for return flows.

Mr. Moyer stated that each basin roundtable is doing a risk study should there ever be a compact call.

Commissioner Manguso attended the Juvenile Services Planning Committee (JSPC) in Steamboat Springs. They talked a lot about numbers. There are a number of new people on the JSPC and the meeting was a training session. Grand County only has two representatives but Grand County used to have five members. Commissioner Manguso is on the JSPC with Granby Police Chief Bill Housley.

Dennis Martinez is the president of the JSPC and he is trying to form some subcommittees and rearrange some things as far as the JSPC is concerned. The JSPC is in the 14th Judicial District. There was discussion on how Routt County has the Senate Bill 94 Coordinator in the District Attorney’s Office. Moffat County has an individual that does the program and they have the largest number of cases.

Commissioner Manguso stated that the Board has been told that the 14th Judicial District has the highest risk kids. Commissioner Tollett noted that it is not very many kids but the highest risk kids. Commissioner Manguso stated that it is the highest risk of reoffending. It is not that the kids are bad kids.

Commissioner Manguso stated that Moffat County has a high number of offenders, Routt has none, and Grand County has a few.

Commissioner Manguso noted that the towns do not use the Juvenile Diversion system at all. There are no diversion kids from the towns and no Senate Bill 94 kids from the towns. Commissioner Manguso was told that the town police just talk with the kids and work with the kids one on one.

Two years ago, the JSPC changed the contract and it requires that the JSPC do an annual review of the Senate Bill 94 Coordinator. That has not been done. Commissioner Manguso noted that no department heads had a review.

The JSPC will come up with a way for all members on the JSPC to provide input for the review of the coordinator.

The JSPC will be getting the number of kids in the Senate Bill 94 program by County and by year. The committee talked about the number of beds available. In Grand Junction there are 380 beds and about 275 kids.

Commissioner Manguso stated that she has the numbers, in writing, by County.

Judge O'Hara appoints the JSPC members and he appointed most of the members from Moffat County because they have the majority of the kids.

Commissioner Manguso moved to adjourn as the Grand County Board of Commissioners and convene as the Grand County Board of Health.

The motion passed unanimously.

Public Hearing – Board of Health – Erikson Onsite Wastewater System Variance

The public hearing scheduled to begin at 2:30 p.m. was called to order by Chairman Tollett at 2:30 p.m. County Attorney Hassler set the record.

The following is all or part of staff's Certificate of Recommendation to the Board as it was provided by staff for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting held on July 26, 2016.

- A. Public Notice – Middle Park Times, July 7, 2016
- B. Proof of Publication – Middle Park Times, July 7, 2016
- C. Vicinity Map depicting as established by the Grand County GIS System
- D. Certified Mailings to all adjacent property owners, as established by the Grand County GIS System
- E. Public Review Sheets (no names)
- F. Onsite Wastewater Treatment System Design
- G. Certificate of Recommendation dated July 26, 2016

PROJECT NAME: Gary Erickson On-site Wastewater Treatment System Variance
APPLICANT: Gary and Joann Erickson
LOCATION: A .35 acre metes and bounds parcel located in a portion of SE ¼ NW1/4, Section 24, Township 1 South, Range 76 West of the 6th PM, County of Grand [23571 Grand County Road 50 - east of the GCR 50/GCR 510 intersection

APPLICABLE

REGULATIONS: Grand County OnSite Wastewater Treatment System Regulations - Section 43.4.L, Variance Procedure

STAFF PLANNER: Bill Gray

EXHIBITS: REQUEST: On-Site Wastewater Treatment System Design A variance to allow the Soil Treatment Area to be located zero feet to a property line.

I. DISCUSSION

Gary and Joann Erickson have submitted a Design for a new Onsite Wastewater Treatment System (OWTS) to serve their existing 2-bedroom single family residence located at 23517 GCR 50.

The property is .35 acres (15,000 square feet).

An OWTS was constructed under permit S75-40 and is no longer functioning. The existing system needs to be replaced.

A variance to reduce the setback requirement from a property line is included in the proposed design. The Applicant has requested that the Soil Treatment Area of the OWTS is allowed to be located on the western property line of the parcel. It is the common property line between the Erickson ownership and GCR 510 right-of-way.

II. REGULATION

43.4.L. Variance Procedure

2. Requirements for Variance Consideration

a. Prior to rendering a decision on a variance request, a public hearing may be held at the discretion of the Board. If the Board sets a public hearing, the hearing shall be the subject of a public notice or notice shall be sent via certified mail, within a minimum twenty (20) day reply time from the date of the mailing, to all adjacent property owners.

The public hearing for the proposed has been noticed as required by the regulations.

b. Variance requests must be accompanied by:

(1) Site-specific request identifying the specific criteria from which a variance is being requested.

Table 7-1 requires that a STA is located a minimum horizontal distance of 10' from a property line. Applicant is requesting a zero property line setback for the STA. In other areas, the proposed design is in compliance with the County regulations.

(2) Technical Justification by a professional engineer or professional geologist, which indicates the specific conditions which exist and/or the measures which will be taken that support a finding that the variance will result in no greater risk than that associated with compliance with the requirements of the regulation. Examples of conditions which exist, or measures which might be taken, include but are not limited to the following: evidence of a natural or manmade physical barrier to the movement of effluent to or toward a feature from which the variance is requested; placement of a manmade physical barrier to the movement of effluent to or toward the feature from which the variance is requested; soil replacement with sand filter media to reduce the infiltration rate of the effluent such that the travel time of the effluent from the absorption field to the physical feature is no less than the travel time through the native soils at the prescribed setback and Treatment Level 2.

The property is small, has a triangular shape, a well located near the center of the property and a previous house expansion that create design challenges for the new system. These factors drive the placement of the STA toward the west property line. Acquisition of additional property was considered but it is not a viable alternative. By allowing the STA to be located at the property line it achieves the required separation between a well and STA. The Code allows for a 75' separation with a Treatment Level 3N. Level 3N is the highest treatment level standard of the OWTS regulations.

Excavation for the STA may impact the GCR right-of-way. A right-of-way permit from Road and Bridge is appropriate to accommodate construction and restoration of the area post-construction.

The western edge of the STA should be designated with visible markers for its protection.

It is Staff's conclusion that the variance is justified due to parcel shape and configuration of existing improvements.

(3) A discussion of alternatives considered in lieu of the requested variance.

Alternative considered included use of the adjacent right-of-way, but this option was determined to be unviable and at best protracted.

The presented design appears to be the best solution for the situation.

(4) Technical documentation for selected alternative, which may include a testing program, which confirms that the variance does not increase the risk to public health and to the environment.

The variance is to allow a STA to be located at a property line. As designed the system complies with the County's OWTS regulations. The property line is shared with road right-of-way. In this location, the right-of-way width is 85 feet. The road width is sufficient for current and build-out conditions. It is Staff conclusion that the selected alternative will not increase risk. Right now we have a system that is not function properly and homeowners that can't live in their house, which is a bigger risk to public health.

(5) A statement of the hardship that creates the necessity for the variance.

The hardship that creates the necessity for the variance is the following:

- Parcel size.
- Parcel shape.
- Location of existing well.
- Location of the existing residence.
- Need to replace a system that is not functioning.
- A residence that cannot be occupied and use by the owners.

c. The applicant has the burden of proof to demonstrate that the variance is justified and will pose no greater risk to the public health and environment than would a system meeting the regulations.

The design report provided provides the justification for the variance.

III. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval Erickson OWTS Variance with the following conditions:

1. A right-of-way permit is obtained from Road and Bridge prior to issuance of the septic permit for construction activity in the right-of-way associated with the installation of the proposed OWTS.

[End of Staff's Certificate]

Mr. Erickson stated that there is no way dig on the property.

Commissioner Manguso moved to approve Erickson Onsite Wastewater System Variance as presented.

The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Linke moved to adjourn the Board and Health and reconvene as the Grand County Board of Commissioners.

The motion passed unanimously.

Board Business

Mr. Moyer attended a meeting last week with regard to interested parties and central water within old town Tabernash. There are businesses that are in the process of augmenting wells in order to convert to commercial and/or expanded uses that they are proposing and spending significant dollars on legal for that process.

The meeting was coordinated by the district and there were many businesses that showed up. There were two businesses that indicated they are not interested in central water but one felt that it was very much the County's responsibility to do this.

Mr. Moyer stated that Grand County is not in the water business. He noted that it would be a great benefit to old town Tabernash to have central water but the County does not own water taps, the County does not distribute water, and the County does not extend water lines.

The fire district potentially has funding for a large portion of this.

Mr. Moyer stated that the County could consider a partnership on this project.

Commissioner Manguso noted that Grand County has put a lot into Tabernash. There has been a tremendous amount of time on this project.

Commissioner Linke moved to convene an Executive Session at 2:57 p.m. citing Section 24-6-402, CRS, which states that local public bodies may utilize executive sessions for considering any of the following matters (specifically): (4)(b) Conferences with an attorney for the local public body for the purposes of receiving legal advice on a specific legal question. The topic of the meeting is an update on the Diamond Excavating lawsuit against JBlanco on the Granby Airport Snow Removal Building.

The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Linke moved to reconvene the regular meeting at 3:34 p.m.

The motion passed unanimously.

I, E. Jane Tollett, hereby attest that the portion of the executive session during which no minutes were taken was confined to a topic authorized for discussion in an executive session.

I, Alan Hassler, hereby attest that the portion of the executive session during which the Recorder was directed to take no minutes constituted a privileged attorney-client communication.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the Regular meeting was adjourned at 3:37 p.m. The minutes were prepared by Clerk and Recorder Sara L. Rosene. Approved this _____ day of September 2016.

E. Jane Tollett, Chair

Attest:

Sara L. Rosene, Clerk and Recorder